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## Introduction

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology
The face is an important indicator of a person's age
Sample applications

- Observation of age restrictions
- Age adapted user interfaces
- Simulation of the aging process


## Challenges

- Every person ages differently

- Collecting sufficient amount of training data
- Influence of facial expressions, head pose, gender and ethnicity


## Active Appearance Model I

## Basics

- Objective: Describe a face with a set of parameters
- Idea: Parameters describe the differences from the mean face
$\Rightarrow$ A statistical model of the variation of shape and texture is learned
$\Rightarrow$ Training images with the annotated shape are needed

1. Shape model

- PCA is applied to all shapes
$\Rightarrow$ Orthogonal modes of variation $P_{s}$
$\Rightarrow$ Shape Model: $s=\bar{s}+P_{s} b_{s}$


## Active Appearance Model II

2. Texture model

- Mean shape is used to warp every face into a shape free version

- The texture is normalized in the shape area
- PCA is applied to all warped textures
$\Rightarrow$ Texture Model: $t=\bar{t}+P_{t} b_{t}$

3. Combined model

- The shape and texture vector are concatenated: $b=\binom{W_{s} b_{s}}{b_{t}}$
- A third time PCA is applied to these vectors
$\Rightarrow$ Combined Model: $b=Q b_{c}$


## Support Vector Machine I

Basic Problem
$d=\left\{\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \mid x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, y_{i} \in\{-1,+1\}\right\}$
Objective
Find a optimal hyperplane between the these classes
$\Rightarrow$ Lowest separation error and the best generalization


## Support Vector Machine II

Approach

- Hyperplane: $\langle w, x\rangle+b=0$
- Scale parameters to fulfill $|\langle w, x\rangle+b| \geq 1$
$\Rightarrow$ Points closest $|\langle w, x\rangle+b|=1$ on $h_{1}, h_{2}$
$\Rightarrow$ Maximize distance $h_{1}, h_{2}: \frac{2}{\|w\|}$


Basic Optimization Problem
$\Rightarrow \min _{w, b}\|w\|$ s.t. $y_{i} *\left(\left\langle w, x_{i}\right\rangle+b\right) \geq 1, \forall i$

## Support Vector Machine Extensions I

The Kernel-Trick

- The non-linear separable data is transformed into a higher dimensional space
$\Rightarrow$ Complex calculation of the scalar product
$\Rightarrow$ Replace the scalar product with a kernel function
- polynomial, radial basis function (RBF),...



## Support Vector Machine Extensions II

## Soft Margin

- Allows to regulate between separation error and generalization

$$
\min _{w, b, \xi}\|w\|+C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} \text { s.t. } y_{i} *\left(\left\langle w, x_{i}\right\rangle+b\right) \geq 1-\xi_{i}, \forall i, \xi_{i} \geq 0
$$



## Support Vector Machine Extensions III

## (epsilon) SV-Regression

- $y_{i}$ can be any real number $\Rightarrow$ regression problem
- Find a function that has at most $\epsilon$ deviation

$$
\min _{w, b}\|w\| \text { s.t. } \begin{cases}y_{i}-\left\langle w, x_{i}\right\rangle-b & \leq \epsilon \\ \left\langle w, x_{i}\right\rangle+b-y_{i} & \leq \epsilon \\ \forall i & \geq 0\end{cases}
$$



## AAM Building I

Configuration

- Convex hull model
- $95 \%$ of the variation is described
- The texture size is halved

Variation of the first 2 parameters


## AAM Building II

Variation of the parameters 3-5
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## AAM Fitting
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## Classification System

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

The classifier


$$
\text { youth } \widehat{=} \text { age } \leq 20, \text { adult } \widehat{=} \text { age }>20
$$

## The FG-NET database

- 1002 mixed color and greyscale images
- 68 landmark points for every sample
- 6-18 images for each of the 82 subjects (age: 0-69)
- Image resolution varies about $400 \times 500$ pixels
- Uncontrolled conditions
- Ethnicity: White people



## Evaluation Method

## Leave One Person Out Evaluation (LOPO)

In each fold:

- The pictures of one person are hold out for testing
- All remaining images are used for training
$\Rightarrow$ On the FG-NET database this leads to 82 folds
- Training set: AAM building $\rightarrow$ feature extraction $\rightarrow$ SVM training
- Testing set: feature extraction $\rightarrow$ age estimation

Parameter optimization

- Cross validation: The subjects instead of the single images are randomly divided into training and testing set
$\Rightarrow$ Prevents that "intra personal" relations are learned


## Performance Measurements

## Mean Absolute Error (MAE)

- is the mean difference between the real and the predicted age

$$
M A E=\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left|E A_{i}-R A_{i}\right|}{n}
$$

- where $E A_{i}$ is the estimated and $R A_{j}$ the real age for the $i^{\text {th }}$ of $n$ tested samples

Cumulative Score (CS)

- Let $d$ an age error in years
- CS is \% of estimations having an estimation error $\leq d$

$$
C S(d)=\frac{N\left|E A_{i}-R A_{i}\right| \leq d}{n} \times 100
$$

## Baseline Version Evaluation I

## Mean Absolute Error

For the MAE calculation of the second step classifiers the miss classifications of the first step are ignored

| features used | dim. | overall result | first step | second step |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | youth/adult classifier | youth classifier | adult classifier |
| shape only | 27 | 6.16 | 20.44\% | 2.32 | 7.77 |
| texture only | 102 | 5.84 | 19.24\% | 2.15 | 7.55 |
| shape \& texture | 129 | 5.71 | 18.84\% | 2.16 | 7.55 |
| combined | 47 | 5.58 | 18.50\% | 2.11 | 7.56 |

## Baseline Version Evaluation II

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

## Cumulative Score



## DCT Features Approach

## Alignment Version

1. Use the eye coordinates to align the face

2. Fit the AAM to get the shape free face

## Extraction

1. Scale image to $64 \times 64$ pixels
2. DCT is performed on blocks of $8 \times 8$ pixels
3. 5 coefficients in zig-zag order are kept for each block
$\Rightarrow 8 \times 8 \times 5=320$ dimensional feature vector

## DCT Features Evaluation

Mean Absolute Error

| features used | dim. | $\begin{array}{c}\text { overall } \\ \text { result }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { first step } \\ \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { youth/adult } \\ \text { classifier }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { youth } \\ \text { classifier }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $18.50 \%$ | 2.11 | 7.56 |
| classifier |  |  |  |$]$

## Soft Binary Approach

- Use the decision value to identify close decisions
$\Rightarrow$ Close decisions are given to a global classifier (overall MAE: combined: 5.50 years, DCT v2 \& shape: 5.08 years)

- combined: 5.21 years (before 5.58 ), (youth/adult error 5.69\%)
- DCT v2 \& shape: 4.77 years (before 5.08), (youth/adult error 4.59\%)


## Final Evaluation

## Age Range Analyses

- Estimations are grouped according to their real age

| features used | $0-9$ <br> MAE | $10-19$ <br> MAE | $20-29$ <br> MAE | $30-39$ <br> MAE | $40-49$ <br> MAE | $50-59$ <br> MAE | $60-69$ <br> MAE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| combined | 2.28 | 5.01 | 7.29 | 8.31 | 14.11 | 23.54 | 33.3 |
| combined(sb) | 2.41 | 3.88 | 5.78 | 9.92 | 15.83 | 25.64 | 35.46 |
| DCT\&shape | 1.99 | 4.04 | 7.12 | 9.37 | 13.62 | 21.79 | 28.66 |
| DCT\&shape(sb) | 2.19 | 3.67 | 4.97 | 9.10 | 15.09 | 22.60 | 32.13 |
| image count | 371 | 339 | 144 | 79 | 46 | 15 | 8 |

- Upper age ranges are trained badly
- Classifier prefers ages with many samples
$\Rightarrow$ The estimation performance varies widely


## Current Studies

Objective:

- Build a balanced age estimation system


## Approach:

- Balance the number of images per age
$\Rightarrow$ Add data from the MORPH database
$\Rightarrow$ Use flipped images
$\Rightarrow$ Limit images per age
- AAM fitting is complex
$\Rightarrow$ Use eye alignment only (DCT v1)
- The youth/adult classification influences the MAE of the age ranges
$\Rightarrow$ Drop the youth/adult classification step


## The MORPH database

## Album 1

- 1690 greyscale images
- eye coordinates for every sample
- 631 subjects of the age from 15-68
- Image resolution: $400 \times 500$ pixels
- Ethnicity: black 1253 images white 434 images



## The MORPH database

## Album 2

- 55608 color images
- 13673 subjects of the age from 16-99
- Image resolution: 200 $\times 240-400 \times 480$ pixels
- Ethnicity: black 42897 images white 10736 images
- No eye coordinates
$\Rightarrow$ MCT detector of the okapi library is used



## Evaluation Set

## Age Distribution FG-NET \& MORPH



## Evaluation I

## FG-NET MAE Age Range Analysis

| training age limit | $\begin{gathered} 0-9 \\ \text { MAE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10-19 } \\ & \text { MAE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20-29 } \\ & \text { MAE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30-39 } \\ & \text { MAE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40-49 \\ & \text { MAE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 50-59 } \\ & \text { MAE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60-69 } \\ & \text { MAE } \end{aligned}$ | overall <br> MAE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 7.93 | 6.44 | 4.94 | 8.73 | 13.87 | 25.47 | 27.38 | 7.75 |
| 50 | 6.84 | 5.68 | 4.80 | 10.00 | 14.76 | 27.07 | 29.00 | 7.25 |
| 70 | 6.51 | 5.33 | 4.81 | 9.98 | 14.87 | 27.73 | 30.25 | 6.99 |
| \#images | 371 | 339 | 144 | 79 | 46 | 15 | 8 | 1002 |

## Evaluation II

Album 1 MAE Age Range Analysis

| training <br> age limit | $0-9$ <br> MAE | $10-19$ <br> MAE | $20-29$ <br> MAE | $30-39$ <br> MAE | $40-49$ <br> MAE | $50-59$ <br> MAE | $60-69$ <br> MAE | overall <br> MAE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | - | 12.31 | 8.81 | 5.37 | 6.87 | 12.8 | 16.71 | 8.60 |
| 50 | - | 9.50 | 6.76 | 4.64 | 7.40 | 13.48 | 19.29 | 6.98 |
| 70 | - | 7.90 | 5.67 | 4.74 | 7.94 | 13.92 | 20.14 | 6.24 |
| \#images | 0 | 343 | 763 | 428 | 124 | 25 | 7 | 1690 |

## Evaluation III

## MAE Age Range Analysis

- Testing samples are collected from the whole evaluation set
- Testing samples per age are limited to 50

| training <br> age limit | $0-9$ <br> MAE | $10-19$ <br> MAE | $20-29$ <br> MAE | $30-39$ <br> MAE | $40-49$ <br> MAE | $50-59$ <br> MAE | $60-69$ <br> MAE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 8.19 | 7.03 | 6.56 | 6.21 | 7.19 | 6.47 | 10.69 |
| 50 | 7.14 | 6.12 | 5.33 | 5.76 | 6.70 | 6.71 | 10.81 |
| 70 | 6.78 | 5.67 | 4.65 | 5.78 | 6.63 | 6.86 | 11.05 |

## Evaluation IV

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

## Cumulative Scores



## Conclusion

- On the FG-NET database a human reaches the following MAE:
- Whole picture: 6.23 years
- Face only: 8.13 years
- Our best results: 4.77 years
$\Rightarrow$ AAM or DCT combined with SVM is suited for age estimation
- The youth/adult classification is very challenging
$\Rightarrow$ Using DCT features slightly reduces the classification error
- An age balanced training set provides a quite balanced age estimation
- Ethnicity should probably be considered


## Questions?

## Questions?
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## Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

1. Direction of the greatest variance is detected
2. The basis is changed
3. The dimension is reduced

Example:


## Implementation Additionals I

Training:
1 All samples to train the youth/adult classifier
2a Samples with age $\leq 20$ to train the youth classifier
2b Samples with age $>20$ to train the adult classifier
SVM type:

1. Support Vector Classification for the first step classifier
$\rightarrow$ CSVC with the RBF kernel from the LIBSVM library
2. Support Vector Regression for the second steps classifiers
$\rightarrow$ Epsilon-SVR with the RBF kernel also from LIBSVM

## Implementation Additionals II

Building procedure

1. Build the AAM on the training set
2. Extract the feature vectors for all training images
3. Scale the parameters of the feature vectors to $\{-1,1\}$
4. Train the three classifiers using the respective data and optimize the SVM parameters using a 5 fold cross validation

## Implementation Additionals III

Estimation procedure

1. Extract the feature vectors for all testing images
2. Scale the feature vectors
3. Estimate the age by applying the two steps of classifiers


## AAM Fitting

## Algorithm

1. Start the fitting with the mean or landmark shape
2. Calculate the initial error between synthesized and real face Mahalanobis distance
3. Compute the next displacement using a regression matrix
4. Estimate the new combined vector with step size 1
5. Calculate the new error
a Accept the estimation if the error has improved
b Otherwise go to step 4 and try a smaller step
6. Go to step 3 until the error is not further reduced or the maximum of iterations is reached

## Basic Version Evaluation IV

MAE age range analysis
All estimations are grouped according to their real age

| features used | $0-9$ <br> MAE | $10-19$ <br> MAE | $20-29$ <br> MAE | $30-39$ <br> MAE | $40-49$ <br> MAE | $50-59$ <br> MAE | $60-69$ <br> MAE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| shape only | 2.77 | 6.19 | 7.61 | 8.18 | 14.13 | 23.27 | 33.50 |
| texture only | 2.73 | 5.65 | 7.22 | 8.17 | 14.15 | 23.28 | 34.79 |
| shape \& tex | 2.52 | 5.54 | 7.08 | 8.03 | 13.83 | 23.15 | 34.00 |
| combined | 2.28 | 5.01 | 7.29 | 8.31 | 14.11 | 23.54 | 33.30 |
| image count | 371 | 339 | 144 | 79 | 46 | 15 | 8 |

## Baseline Version Evaluation IV

Errors of the youth/adult classifier


## Baseline Version Evaluation V

Large estimation errors of the youth classifier


## Baseline Version Evaluation VI

Large estimation errors of the adult classifier


## DCT Features Evaluation II

## Cumulative Score



## Global Classifier Approach

## Background

Without any youth/adult error the overall MAE would be:

- Combined feature vector: 3.74 years
- DCT \& shape feature vector concatenated: 3.7 years
$\Rightarrow$ Idea: Get rid of the youth/adult classification
$\Rightarrow$ Only one global classifier (SVR) for the whole age range 0-69


## Global Classifier Approach Evaluation

## Overall MAE

- Combined: 5.50 years (before 5.58 )
- DCT \& Shape: 5.08 years (before 5.08 )

Age range analyses

| features used | $0-9$ <br> MAE | $10-19$ <br> MAE | $20-29$ <br> MAE | $30-39$ <br> MAE | $40-49$ <br> MAE | $50-59$ <br> MAE | $60-69$ <br> MAE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| combined | 2.28 | 5.01 | 7.29 | 8.31 | 14.11 | 23.54 | 33.30 |
| combined(G) | 3.12 | 3.58 | 4.96 | 10.85 | 18.32 | 27.75 | 38.46 |
| DCT\&shape | 1.99 | 4.04 | 7.12 | 9.37 | 13.62 | 21.79 | 28.66 |
| DCT\&shape(G) | 3.09 | 3.69 | 3.80 | 8.89 | 16.76 | 24.89 | 35.38 |
| image count | 371 | 339 | 144 | 79 | 46 | 15 | 8 |

## Soft Binary Evaluation

## Overall MAE

- Combined: 5.21 years (before 5.58), (youth/adult error 5.69\%)
- DCT \& Shape: 4.77 years (before 5.08 ), (youth/adult error $4.59 \%$ )


## Age range analyses

| features used | $0-9$ <br> MAE | $10-19$ <br> MAE | $20-29$ <br> MAE | $30-39$ <br> MAE | $40-49$ <br> MAE | $50-59$ <br> MAE | $60-69$ <br> MAE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| combined | 2.28 | 5.01 | 7.29 | 8.31 | 14.11 | 23.54 | 33.3 |
| combined(sb) | 2.41 | 3.88 | 5.78 | 9.92 | 15.83 | 25.64 | 35.46 |
| DCT\&shape | 1.99 | 4.04 | 7.12 | 9.37 | 13.62 | 21.79 | 28.66 |
| DCT\&shape(sb) | 2.19 | 3.67 | 4.97 | 9.10 | 15.09 | 22.60 | 32.13 |
| image count | 371 | 339 | 144 | 79 | 46 | 15 | 8 |

## Automatic Initialized Fitting Approach

## Problem and Solution

- In real life there are no landmarks to initialize the AAM fitting
- Worst Solution: Try any possible size and position
- Better Solution: Use the MCT detector for face and eye detection


## Example



## Automatic Initialized Fitting Evaluation

## Mean Absolute Error

The face and eyes detection failed for 185 images
$\Rightarrow$ They are excluded from testing

| features used |  | first step | second step |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | youth/adult <br> classifier | youth <br> classifier | adult <br> classifier |
| combined landmark | 4.87 | 5.27 | 2.07 | 7.23 |
| combined automatic | 6.47 | 9.49 | 2.91 | 7.40 |
| DCT v1 landmark | 5.08 | 5.21 | 2.56 | 7.35 |
| DCT v1 automatic | 7.04 | 10.04 | 3.96 | 7.77 |
| DCT v2 \& shape landmark | 4.43 | 5.08 | 2.14 | 7.34 |
| DCT v2 \& shape automatic | 5.67 | 9.14 | 2.73 | 7.61 |

